

ECI OSEP Outcome Report for those Children with IFSPs
Juniper Gardens Children's Project

Juniper Gardens Sample - ECI
Juniper Gardens Sample
October 01, 2007

IFSP Children in the Program/Project

Active Children	Number	Percentage
Without IFSP	22	78.6%
With IFSP	6	21.4%
Total Children	28	100%
OSEP Qualified Children*	2	7.1%

*Note: An OSEP qualified child has an IFSP, at least 2 data points, and a minimum of 6 months of service

Individual Children's Information

Child ID	Child Name	Gender	Date	Time 1	Time 2	Length of Service	
						Months	Days
1535	Cute, Jacob	Male	05/09/2002	06/10/2003	13	7	
			Expectation Relative to Peers	Below	Below		
			ECO Rating	6	5		
			ECO Progress Group	-	e		
			OSEP Progress Group	-	a		
1541	Cute, Dana	Female	05/01/2002	10/06/2004	29	19	
			Expectation Relative to Peers	Below	Within		
			ECO Rating	3	7		

ECO Progress Group	-	b
OSEP Progress Group	-	d

Program/Project Summary

Mean Service		21	13
Expectation Relative to Peers			
Below	2	1	
Within	0	1	
Mean Eco Rating	5	6	Rating (7 = Completely, 5 = Somewhat, 3 = Emerging, and 1 = Not Yet)

Eco Progress*				OSEP Progress		
Groups/Levels		Children	Percentage	Groups/Levels	Children	Percentage
a	-	0	0%	a	1	50%
b	-	1	50%	b	0	0%
c	-	0	0%	c	0	0%
d	-	0	0%	d	1	50%
e	-	1	50%	e	0	0%
f	-	0	0%			

***Note: Eco Progress Groups are as follows:**

1. (a) % of children who made sufficient progress to maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers
2. (b) % of children who made sufficient progress to achieve functioning at a level comparable to same age peers
3. (c) % of children who move nearer to functioning comparable to same age peers but did not achieve it
4. (d) % of children who made progress but not sufficient progress to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-age peers
5. (e) % of infants and toddlers/preschool children who did not improve functioning

6. (f) % of infants and toddlers/preschool children who regressed in their functioning

**** OSEP Progress Groups are as follow:**

- 1. (a) Children who did not improve functioning**
- 2. (b) Children who improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same age peers**
- 3. (c) Children who improved functioning to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it**
- 4. (d) Children who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers**
- 5. (e) Children who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers**